Assessment in Action:

A CEFR-based Toolkit for FSL Teachers



Listening



Writing



Spoken
Production



Spoken Interaction





Level A and B

Reading

Acknowledgments

CASLT would like to thank the members of the working committee who played an active role in the development of this document and more specifically Laura Hermans-Nymark, committee chair, for her unwavering commitment to further the development of support, materials for second language teachers in Canada along the lines of the Common Framework of Reference for Languages.

Members of the committee:

Project Director – Laura Hermans-Nymark

Working committee (in alphabetical order) per province*:

John Erskine – Manitoba

Paula Kristmanson – New Brunswick

Chantal Lafargue – New Brunswick

Glenn Cake – Newfoundland

Jim Murphy – Newfoundland

Valerie Pike – Newfoundland

Camilla Stoodley – Newfoundland

Shirley Cameron – Ontario

Marnie Beaudoin - Alberta

Rome Lavrencic – British Columbia

Brigitte Patenaude – British Columbia

Nancy Griffith-Zahner – British Columbia

*With special thanks to Leanne Chase, Lisa Menchions, Cynthia Manning and their students.

CASLT recognizes and appreciates the work accomplished by teachers of the Council of Europe to establish and improve the CEFR. This document draws from the common framework and from various other documents published by the Council of Europe, its affiliates from EU member states and by Schulverlag Plus AG. This Canadian adaptation aims to support French language teaching across Canada. Members of the development committee shall in no way benefit from the future sale of this document. Benefits from the sale of the document by CASLT will be used to further advance the important work initiated by the Council of Europe and other organizations.

Our most sincere thanks to Canadian Heritage for its financial support.



Patrimoine canadien

Canadian Heritage

Any and all reproduction of this document by anyone is strictly prohibited without a prior written authorization by the Canadian Association of Second Language Teachers.

Graphic Design: Marc Delisle

© CASLT/ACPLS 2012

Canadian Association of Second Language Teachers (CASLT)

2490 Don Reid Drive, Ottawa, ON K1H 1E1

Toll Free Telephone: 1-877-727-0994

Telephone: 613-727-0994 Website: www.caslt.org

The Canadian Association of Second Language Teachers (CASLT) promotes the advancement of second language learning and teaching throughout Canada by creating opportunities for professional development, by encouraging research, and by facilitating the sharing of information and the exchange of ideas among second language educators.

Table of contents

Introduction		1	Level A2.1		
Level A1.1				Spoken interaction	53
	Spoken interaction	13	2	Spoken production	57
2	Spoken production	17		Listening	61
	Listening	21		Reading	65
	Reading	25		Writing	69
	Writing	29	Level A2.2		
Level A1.2			~	Spoken interaction	73
	Spoken interaction	33		Sopken production	77
2	Spoken production	37		Listening	81
	Listening	41		Reading	85
	Reading	45		Writing	89
	Writing	49	Blank form		93

Table of contents

Introduction		1
Level B1.1		
~	Spoken interaction	13
2	Spoken production	17
	Listening	21
	Reading	25
	Writing	29
Level B1.2		
	Spoken interaction	33
2	Spoken production	37
	Listening	41
	Reading	45
	Writing	49

Spoken interaction 53 Spoken production 57 Listening 61 Reading 65 Writing 69 Blank form 73

The Assessment in Action Toolkit

What is the Assessment in Action: A CEFR-based Toolkit for FSL Teachers?

The Assessment in Action Toolkit (AAT) is a set of adaptable tasks that teachers can use in the classroom to support assessment as, of and for learning at their students' level in the language being taught. The tasks are designed for FSL classrooms but can be adapted for other languages.

Who is it for?

The AAT is for all language teachers who would like to enrich their repertoire of assessment tools, including those seeking to align their teaching and assessing practices to the Common European Framework of Reference (CEFR).

What is the CEFR?

The CEFR defines levels of language proficiency which help teachers and students to identify where students are in their learning and to measure their language development over time.

The proficiency levels are defined as "can-do" statements that range from beginner user A1 to near-native user C2 in five communicative activity types: writing, reading, listening, spoken production and spoken interaction. The CEFR generally proposes an action-oriented approach; language proficiency is described in terms of what students are able to do in the target language. The CEFR is used in over 40 countries worldwide and is increasingly used in Canada.

Why the AAT?

Many educators have expressed an interest in using Canadian made CEFR-based resources in their language classrooms. Because few materials are available, CASLT launched a project to update the original CASLT Formative Assessment Toolkit and align it with the CEFR.

How is the AAT organized?


The AAT consists of 35 tasks in both English and French versions. One task corresponds to one sub-level of the CEFR proficiency levels and one communicative activity type. The breakdown is as follows:

students are in their learning and to measure their language development over time.								
	Levels							
	Communicative activity type	A 1.1	A1.2	A2.1	A2.2	B1.1	B 1.2	B 2
	Reading	V	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	\checkmark	$\sqrt{}$	V	V
	Writing	√	√	√	\checkmark	V	√	V
	Listening	√	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	√	V
	Spoken production	V	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	\checkmark	$\sqrt{}$	V	\checkmark
	Spoken interaction	√	V	V	V	V	V	V

The AAT also includes a template for teachers to use to adapt, create and personalize assessment activities for specific classes or groups of students.

What does the AAT look like?*

Each task comprises a four-page template in both English and in French that includes:



^(*) See pages 9 to 12 for a task sample.

Content of page 1.


CEFR level

Each task corresponds to one of the six proficiency sub-level defined by the CEFR for the organization of language learning, which are reproduced in the Self-assessment grid on page 8 of this publication. The AAT will focus on levels A1 to B2, which are more suited to a clientele of students. These levels have been divided into sub-catogeries A1.1 to B2 to offer more flexibility to teachers when assessing their students' language competencies.

CEFR Levels								
Α	A 1	A 1.1	A 1.2					
Basic user	A2	A2.1	A2.2					
В	B1	B1.1	B 1.2					
Independent user	B 2							
С	C1							
Proficient user	C2							

Communicative activity type

The tasks require the use of the communicative activity types as defined by the CEFR. Each task is designed for formative assessment purposes and focus on one communicative activity type. The communicative activity types defined by the CEFR are all identified by their own symbol as displayed on the right of this paragraph:



The can-do statement

The "can-do" statement defines the purpose of the task and is presented to the students before they start the task so they know what they are working towards achieving.

• What is the task?


The task establishes the communicative purpose of the activity and describes what the students will do to demonstrate what they "can do". The purpose of the task is for students to engage in a language activity that has a real-life purpose such as expressing an opinion, asking for information, presenting others, etc. In other words, students should be able to recognize that their language use in the classroom has outside applications.

• How can it be done?

The scenario is a suggestion of a context in which the task can be carried out in the classroom. The scenario can be adapted to suit the theme, unit or curriculum used by the teacher.

• How did it go?

The criteria provide the "how well" the students perform to meet the "can-do" statement purpose of the task. The criteria are discussed with the students before they start the task so they know what is expected of them and how they can assess their own performance. Additional criteria can also be added.



"Assessment in action" wheel

Assessment is an ongoing process that is integral to all aspects of the task, from the design of the task to planning for further learning. The "Assessment in action", which also comprises assessment "as", "of" and "for" learning, is reflected in the assessment wheel above which provides a process to follow in assessing your students language competencies.

Design:

The task is designed so that the teacher can plan how to gather evidence related to what the student does, and how, to achieve the "can-do" statement purpose of the task. Students could be involved in this process.

Observe:

Observation of students as they prepare and do the task is an important way for teachers to learn about their students' strengths and about steps the students need to take in their learning.

Perform:

Performing the task gives the students the opportunity to display what they "can do" (can-do statement) and also "how well" (criteria) they can do it. It is on this performance that the students are assessed for formative purposes.

Record:

Observations, insights from conversations between students and teachers, and evidence from examining student performance and production (what they write and say) during the task are recorded by teachers using tools such as the teacher feedback sheet and by students using tools such as the self-assessment sheet. These may be used for different purposes including:

- To describe how the student demonstrates his/her CEFR language level;
- To decide on next learning goals and next steps to reach them.


The teacher focuses on recording what the students can do, which is based on the criteria outlined in the task.

Reflect:

Reflection is an activity for both the teacher and the students. The teacher reflects on student performance; his/her observations and conversations with the students will be helpful to plan for future learning activities. The students reflect on their performance in relation to the criteria in order to recognize what they did well and what they need to work on next. Self-reflection is a vital component of autonomous learning and a central part of the CEFR and the language portfolio.

Share:

Students and the teacher describe the performance based on the criteria. They share their observations and comments on what went well and which strategies were successful. Students and the teacher also identify and agree on the next steps for learning. The exchange sets the course for students in their learning but also for the teacher in designing future tasks to help students achieve their goals.



Preparing for the task


Preparing for the task involves:

- Communicating the can-do statement purpose of the task;
- 2. Communicating the purpose of the task;
- 3. Practising the strategies students can use to do the task;
- 4. Negotiating the expectations or criteria of the task;
- 5. Using the students' interests, knowledge, experience and perspective as a starting point and reference for the task. After all, the can-do statements are all about the students, their world and what they can do with language;
- 6. Focusing on the language the students need to accomplish the can-do statement;
- 7. Modelling and practising so the students know what the task is, how it can be done and have time to practise and prepare for the task;
- 8. Monitoring the students to gauge whether or not support is needed to ensure understanding and success.

Doing the task

Doing the task includes:

- Making adaptations to the task to accommodate students' differences;
- Allowing the students to have a say in when they will be assessed;
- 3. Setting up optimal conditions for successful completion of task (time, material, safe learning environment, etc.)
- 4. Observing students and recording notes for assessment purposes;
- 5. Giving students time to complete the self-assessment sheet;
- 6. Sharing feedback with individual students on their performance;
- Receiving feedback from the class in terms of how the task went, level of difficulty, level of interest, etc. to help in future task design.



Content of page 2. · · · · · ·

 Sample language:
 The focus of the language is on some sample structures students may need to accomplish the can-do statement task purpose. These are a starting point only and may vary depending on each situation. Related vocabulary and grammar play a secondary role to using language forms/structures to communicate the intended message.

Considerations: –


Considerations are suggestions or items to think about when designing and performing the task.

Learner strategies:

Students can use strategies to help them complete their task. Students may use other strategies. Students are not assessed on their use of strategies but they can reflect on which ones they used, if any, to accomplish the can-do statement.

Sample resources: —

This is a sampling of some possible classroom resources. The resources suggested for other tasks and levels may also be appropriate. Other resources may be added at the discretion of the teacher. The sample resources are subject to change without notice.



Content of page 3.

Rate student's performance:

The rating is on a four-point scale but may be adapted to suit the teacher's needs and practice.

Student completed the task: —

This comment indicates whether or not the student completed the task following the guidelines set by the teacher and students.

Criteria: ———

Teachers may choose to not use all the criteria for assessment and/or include additional criteria that he/she established with the students.

Observations: ———

Observations are descriptions of aspects of the student's performance. The observations are related to the criteria.

Comments and next steps: The comments describe what went well and provide suggestions for the next steps for learning.

Student showed that he/she can . . . : -

An overall rating is given to reflect how well the student demonstrated the ability to do the can-do statement.

Content of page 4.

Page 4 is the Self-assessment page that will be filled by the student. Its various parts are to a large degree similar to the "Teacher feedback" page, but it also allows the student to specify which ones of the "learner strategies" suggested on page 2 have been used to complete the task.

How is the AAT used?

- 1. Select a level and communicative activity type: The purpose of the task is for students to show to what degree they are functioning at the level selected and for the communicative activity type chosen. The task gives the students the opportunity to demonstrate their strengths. That is, the elements of the task that they can do.
- 2. Adapt the task: The tasks are intended to be adapted to suit the unit or theme in the class and to complement existing curriculum. This can be done even if the curriculum is not CEFR-related. Each task has a suggested scenario and resources, but the tasks are designed to be suited to the classroom situation, students' interests and to the targeted learning and content.
- Prepare for and do the task: The teacher will follow the task instructions and/or make modifications. The teacher should also review the can-do statement purpose of the task, criteria, strategies and student self-assessment with students before undertaking the task.
- **Fill in the teacher feedback sheet**: The information will provide insight into what the students can do and also how well.

A1.1 Spoken interaction		sk and answer simple ions about myself.	The Canadian Association of Second Language Teachers L'Association canadienne des professeurs de langues secondes
Rate the student's performance from 1 to 4, where: 4= fully, 3= mostly, 2= somewh and 1= more practice is neede	e, nat,		Partially No
Criteria to meet the can do state The student	ement. Student's performance	Observation	ns
knows enough words to talk about hims his/her family (range).			
can use short phrases that he/she mem (accuracy).	orized		
can use words and very short phrases (f	fluency).		
Additional possible criteria:			
Comments and next steps			
Something the student did well:			
A suggestion for what to do next:			
The student showed that he/she can simple questions about himself/hers			
			Page 63

- **Fill in the self-assessment sheet**: The teacher or students decide in which language the self-assessment will be completed and the student will complete it to the best of their
- **6. Exchange feedback with the students**: The exchange will allow the teachers and students to discuss how well the students performed and future steps for learning.

When and how often is the AAT used?

A task performance can be assessed once the teacher and student think the student is ready to be assessed. A single performance provides a snapshot of what a student can do at a moment in time but the more opportunities students are given to perform a task or tasks, the more accurate the portrayal of students' language competencies will be. In other words, the more frequently the tasks are performed and assessed, the more evidence the teacher has of what the students "can do" at their level of proficiency.

Self-assessment grid*

		А: Ва	SIC USER	B: Independent user		C: PROFICIENT USER		
		A1	A2	B1	B2	C1	C2	
Understanding	Listening	I can understand familiar words and very basic phrases concerning myself, my family and immediate concrete surroundings when people speak slowly and clearly.	I can understand phrases and the highest frequency vocabulary related to areas of most immediate personal relevance (e.g. very basic personal and family information, shopping, local area, employment). I can catch the main point in short, clear, simple messages and announcements.	I can understand the main points of clear standard speech on familiar matters regularly encountered in work, school, leisure, etc. I can understand the main point of many radio or TV programmes on current affairs or topics of personal or professional interest when the delivery is relatively slow and clear.	TV news and current affairs	I can understand extended speech even when it is not clearly structured and when relationships are only implied and not signalled explicitly. I can understand television programmes and films without too much effort.	I have no difficulty in understanding any kind of spoken language, whether live or broadcast, even when delivered at fast native speed, provided I have some time to get familiar with the accent.	
	Reading	I can understand familiar names, words and very simple sentences, for example on notices and posters or in catalogues.	I can read very short, simple texts. I can find specific, predictable information in simple everyday material such as advertisements, prospectuses, menus and timetables and I can understand short simple personal letters.	I can understand texts that consist mainly of high frequency everyday or job-related language. I can understand the description of events, feelings and wishes in personal letters.	adopt particular attitudes or	I can understand long and complex factual and literary texts, appreciating distinctions of style. I can understand specialised articles and longer technical instructions, even when they do not relate to my field.	I can read with ease virtually all forms of the written language, including abstract, structurally or linguistically complex texts such as manuals, specialised articles and literary works.	
Speakng	Spoken Interaction	I can interact in a simple way provided the other person is prepared to repeat or rephrase things at a slower rate of speech and help me formulate what I'm trying to say. I can ask and answer simple questions in areas of immediate need or on very familiar topics.	I can communicate in simple and routine tasks requiring a simple and direct exchange of information on familiar topics and activities. I can handle very short social exchanges, even though I can't usually understand enough to keep the conversation going myself.	I can deal with most situations likely to arise whilst travelling in an area where the language is spoken. I can enter unprepared into conversation on topics that are familiar, of personal interest or pertinent to everyday life (e.g. family, hobbies, work, travel and current events).	I can interact with a degree of fluency and spontaneity that makes regular interaction with native speakers quite possible. I can take an active part in discussion in familiar contexts, accounting for and sustaining my views.	I can express myself fluently and spontaneously without much obvious searching for expressions. I can use language flexibly and effectively for social and professional purposes. I can formulate ideas and opinions with precision and relate my contribution skilfully to those of other speakers.	I can take part effortlessly in any conversation or discussion and have a good familiarity with idiomatic expressions and colloquialisms. I can express myself fluently and convey finer shades of meaning precisely. If I do have a problem I can backtrack and restructure around the difficulty so smoothly that other people are hardly aware of it.	
	Spoken Production	I can use simple phrases and sentences to describe where I live and people I know.	I can use a series of phrases and sentences to describe in simple terms my family and other people, living conditions, my educational background and my present or most recent job.	I can connect phrases in a simple way in order to describe experiences and events, my dreams, hopes and ambitions. I can briefly give reasons and explanations for opinions and plans. I can narrate a story or relate the plot of a book or film and describe my reactions.	I can present clear, detailed descriptions on a wide range of subjects related to my field of interest. I can explain a viewpoint on a topical issue giving the advantages and disadvantages of various options.	I can present clear, detailed descriptions of complex subjects integrating sub-themes, developing particular points and rounding off with an appropriate conclusion.	I can present a clear, smoothly-flowing description or argument in a style appropriate to the context and with an effective logical structure which helps the recipient to notice and remember significant points.	
	Writing	holiday greetings. I can fill in	I can write short, simple notes and messages. I can write a very simple personal letter, for example thanking someone for something.	I can write simple connected text on topics which are familiar or of personal interest. I can write personal letters describing experiences and impressions.	I can write clear, detailed text on a wide range of subjects related to my interests. I can write an essay or report, passing on information or giving reasons in support of or against a particular point of view. I can write letters highlighting the personal significance of events and experiences.	I can express myself in clear, well structured text, expressing points of view at some length. I can write about complex subjects in a letter, an essay or a report, underlining what I consider to be the salient issues. I can select a style appropriate to the reader in mind.	I can write clear, smoothly- flowing text in an appropriate style. I can write complex letters, reports or articles which present a case with an effective logical structure which helps the recipient to notice and remember significant points. I can write summaries and reviews of professional or literary works.	

^{*}Drawn from *The Common European Framework of Reference for Languages* (Conseil de l'Europe, 2000)